

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/728,417	GORTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jyoti Chawla	1761	

All Participants:

(1) Jyoti Chawla.

(2) John R Fantry.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was placed concerning the lack of action in regards to the application and was informed by applicant's representative that the client does not wish to file a response.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)